

Chat History

EPA Member Meeting September 15, 2026 via Zoom

Please note: The board tried to answer most of these questions verbally, and those answers are in the video recording, not in this chat. Some questions were redundant because they were being typed in quick succession before there was a chance to answer.

2026-09-15 10:17:07 From Warren Smith to Everyone:
howdy.

2026-09-15 10:17:57 From Danny Conn to Lamar Keener (direct message):
Is this name already being used by another organization?
<https://christiancommunicatorsnetwork1.weebly.com/index.html>

2026-09-15 10:22:16 From Brian's iPhone to Everyone:
Please provide this presentation to the members so we can share these insights with our staff.

2026-09-15 10:23:21 From Lamar Keener to Everyone:
Yes, this presentation will be shared.

2026-09-15 10:25:07 From Lamar Keener to Everyone:
type your questions here

2026-09-15 10:25:59 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:
Has any organization, publication, or individual said either, "I'd join but I can't because of your name" or "I'm a member but have to leave because of our name"?

2026-09-15 10:26:22 From Carla Foote to Everyone:
I appreciate the work and wisdom of the board in this process. I know change is hard but I do think that this change will move us forward.

2026-09-15 10:26:35 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:
Will there be a separate time for comments?

2026-09-15 10:26:52 From Neal Surasky to Everyone:
I understand the desire and the reasoning for making the canes. However, you said that you would guard our evangelical views carefully. How do you intend to guard our evangelical foundation?

2026-09-15 10:27:15 From Rose M. Burgweger to Everyone:
Is there space for "why you voted no." This would be valuable feedback.

2026-09-15 10:28:03 From Warren Smith to Everyone:

will those opposed to the name change be given a chance to make the case?

2026-09-15 10:28:12 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

Did EPA conduct a trademark and prior-use clearance search before selecting Christian Communicators Network, and did that search identify the earlier Christian Communicators Network that operated in the same field beginning in 2012?

2026-09-15 10:28:23 From Rose M. Burgweger to Everyone:

Is there a space for modifications to the name “Christian Communication Network?”

2026-09-15 10:29:09 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

Why not open the meeting for MEMBERS to address the group? Why not let the members SPEAK?

2026-09-15 10:29:41 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

Also, if “Evangelical” is good enough to describe our theological stance, why are we afraid or reluctant to use that as our name?

2026-09-15 10:30:05 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Will you consider suggestions to retain just one word from the current EPA title

2026-09-15 10:30:39 From Eric Thomsen to Everyone:

Tez, I’m curious. To which word do you refer?

2026-09-15 10:30:49 From Kim Pettit to Everyone:

The proposed name is a lot like that of the Christian Broadcasting Network. Also, “network” sounds like TV. Do you anticipate possible confusion with this name change, particularly since it will also be accompanied with a change of director (as Lamar is retiring)?

2026-09-15 10:31:30 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

How often has it happened that people or orgs have refused to join EPA because of our name? Is this often enough to threaten our existence?

2026-09-15 10:32:06 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:

If nothing about EPA is actually changing and we will remain solidly evangelical, what is the point of "opening the door more widely" if we don't want non-evangelicals to come in?

2026-09-15 10:32:37 From Diane Mc to Everyone:

I thank you, too, for all the work/thought you’ve put into this. I am still unsure of my preference. But in light of our fraught political climate, I’d like to hear about the consideration that’s already been given toward announcing a name change — to get ahead

of accusations that we are LEAVING our evangelical foundation. I don't see it as a reason to NOT make such a change; but I can see what could happen if our voice is not heard strongly and clearly and first.

2026-09-15 10:32:51 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

If this is a motion at a called meeting, and there is a motion on the floor, there should normally be time for debate—including questions for clarification and comments pro and con, one at a time, alternating back and forth when possible.

And, of course, asking a genuine question is not the same thing as speaking for or against the motion. Questions or requests for information would normally be directed through the chair, answered, and then the chair would continue recognizing speakers for debate.

(Assuming, of course, we're following the normal Robert's Rules of Order.)

And it certainly looks like folks are ready to debate the motion on the floor. :-)

But that may just be because I'm a good Baptist who knows Robert's Rules well.

2026-09-15 10:34:07 From Carla Foote to Everyone:

Replying to "I thank you, too, for all the work/thought you've ...":

this is a good thought Diane - how this is communicated is essential.

2026-09-15 10:35:29 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

My main concern is the proposed shift from "evangelical" to "Christian." At the National Association of Evangelicals, we can certainly understand the challenges and complexities surrounding the term "evangelical" today. While the intent may be to broaden appeal, we have significant concerns about what such a change could mean, both for the broader evangelical movement and for the institution of the EPA itself.

2026-09-15 10:35:35 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Ironically, while the change may be aimed at rejecting the politicization of the term "evangelical," abandoning it lends credence to the assumption that it is a political identity.

2026-09-15 10:35:45 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

For the nearly eight decades, "evangelical" in Evangelical Press Association has communicated more than a general Christian identity. It has signaled a particular theological heritage, doctrinal commitment and understanding of the gospel that has shaped the EPA from its founding.

2026-09-15 10:35:53 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Moving from Evangelical to Christian will create challenges over time related to membership, partnerships and organizational direction. While the EPA's theological commitments may remain unchanged today, a broader public identity can gradually reshape expectations about who the organization serves and what beliefs define its community.

2026-09-15 10:36:00 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Many organizations have always held evangelical convictions without using the word "evangelical" in their name. However, for organizations that were founded with "evangelical" in their name, replacing it with "Christian" communicates something different and raises more questions.

2026-09-15 10:36:02 From Carla Foote to Everyone:

Replying to "If nothing about EPA is actually changing and we w...":

I think there are people who would agree with our statement of faith who would not identify as evangelical. For example, Anglicans could probably agree with our theological statement but wouldn't use the term evangelical.

2026-09-15 10:36:07 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Organizations that make this shift often face increased pressure to accommodate constituencies that do not share historic evangelical convictions, particularly regarding biblical authority, marriage and human sexuality.

2026-09-15 10:36:15 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Lastly, this consideration is especially important as EPA continues to expand its connection to the global church. Around the world, "evangelical" remains a widely recognized and meaningful theological descriptor of a set of convictions and commitments. Replacing it with the broader term "Christian" risks creating uncertainty about the distinctive theological identity that has long defined the EPA and connected it to brothers and sisters around the world.

2026-09-15 10:36:22 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

For these reasons, I will be voting against name change, and I hope many other members will do the same. My hope is that this vote will provide an opportunity for clarity and a return to the drawing board. I share these concerns as a supporter of EPA and as someone who really wants to see the EPA succeed in its important role to strengthen evangelical journalism and communications for decades to come.

2026-09-15 10:36:28 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

If the proposal doesn't pass, will you go back to considering different names or suggestions by members or will you ditch the whole idea of a name change?

2026-09-15 10:37:04 From iPhone (24) to Everyone:

If we are truly an evangelical organization, what's the rationale behind jettisoning the term "evangelical"? The term "Christian" is obviously broader and more inclusive than the term "evangelical." Are we hoping to attract non-evangelicals? Is this what we're trying to do by dropping the term "evangelical"?

2026-09-15 10:37:04 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Bottom line: is it this name change or none at all?

2026-09-15 10:38:09 From Warren Smith to Everyone:

My question is similar to Tez's above: If motion is defeated, is the issue resolved?
When can it come up again?

2026-09-15 10:39:05 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:

The term "Christian" is just as fraught as evangelical. After all, it's "Christian nationalism" not "Evangelical nationalism." It seems like we'd just be trading one "problem" for a different "problem."

2026-09-15 10:39:19 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Easy: Use a Google survey or SurveyMonkey

2026-09-15 10:39:23 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:

We have discussion forums available on both Facebook and LinkedIn.

2026-09-15 10:39:51 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

Formal Rules of Order allow a substitute motion to be considered for a vote. Is that not an option under our current bylaws?

2026-09-15 10:40:00 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

We have a substantive motion before the membership. It is very unusual not to have the membership discussion a motion, particularly of this magnitude.

Motions should be discussed by the membership. That's normal governance.

The vote can be up and down, but it is very unusual not to have discussion in a meeting. Just having questions is not discussion.

2026-09-15 10:40:31 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Agree, opening up for dicussion would make this a 4 hour meeting or longer

2026-09-15 10:40:58 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

Then you should not have a called meeting with a motion before membership.

2026-09-15 10:41:46 From Lamar Keener to Everyone:

Replying to "How often has it happened that people or orgs have...":
Previously answered. No.

2026-09-15 10:41:53 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Are we no longer an Association? Network seems like merely a "club" (less professional)

2026-09-15 10:42:45 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

Association seems to fit our relationship instead of Network

2026-09-15 10:43:13 From Kim Pettit to Everyone:

Could you address the timing of the name change? Why does the board think this is the right time for it?

2026-09-15 10:43:35 From Rose M. Burgweger to Everyone:

My comments would be to modify the name and I think having an email for feedback would be great. There has been much work, but fine tuning would be great to receive additional comments. I personally feel we are almost there, but not quite.

2026-09-15 10:43:36 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:

If there were groups that didn't join and the "gut" feeling was it was because of the name, why didn't the board go back to those groups and ask them if that was indeed the case?

2026-09-15 10:43:50 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Association requires standards and guidelines. A network is loosey goosey

2026-09-15 10:44:11 From Tim Goropevsek to Everyone:

Thank you for all the work on this and the consideration given. Thank you also for the opportunity to ask questions and give comments here. A brief note echoing Sarah's points, there have been various organizations considering the same tension around the term "evangelical" - including the World Evangelical Alliance, but the direction was then going towards seeking to redeem the term as good news people, rather than abandoning the term, as there is no other term that conveys the same meaning. Globally seen, Christian is not always clear in its identity as evangelical. There are many who desire a clear evangelical identity over a more general one as Christian. Maybe as a question: what voices beyond the US were considered in the process?

2026-09-15 10:44:13 From Warren Smith to Everyone:

So, I'm still confused: Does the EPA follow Robert's Rules or not? If so, the process we are following seems irregular.

2026-09-15 10:44:52 From Eric Thomsen to Everyone:

Replying to "Association requires standards and guidelines. A n...":

Thanks for the clarification, Tez. I thought that was probably the word to which you referred.

2026-09-15 10:45:07 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

What is to prevent a future board of directors from proposing — and the membership from adopting — a reversion to “Evangelical Press Association”?

2026-09-15 10:45:32 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

This happened to Cru when they changed from Campus Crusade for Christ. No other changes were made yet a few years later there was major theological changes. Have we studied what happened to other orgs who did this? We don't want to be naive about how this might lose members rather than gain members.

2026-09-15 10:45:58 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:
Are we shooting ourselves in the foot?

2026-09-15 10:46:06 From Diane Mc to Everyone:
I hear the presentation and think we are clear among ourselves. I am simply asking for a very clear roll-out with people beyond our orbit, so that they don't even bother to start the accusation that we are leaving 'evangelical heritage' behind.

2026-09-15 10:49:02 From Warren Smith to Everyone:
But, Mark, our audience is not the audience this survey purports to represent.

2026-09-15 10:49:49 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:
Moving from evangelical to Christian is problematic.

2026-09-15 10:49:59 From Ann-Margret Hovsepian to Everyone:
Replying to "But, Mark, our audience is not the audience this s...":
Good point. I would assume EPA's members (publications and individuals) know what Evangelical means.

2026-09-15 10:50:49 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:
If the word Christian becomes problematic, will we omit that word eventually?

2026-09-15 10:51:37 From Danny Conn to Everyone:
Replying to "But, Mark, our audience is not the audience this s...":
also, there appears to be no context regarding who was moving away or didn't use the term "evangelical."

2026-09-15 10:52:05 From Warren Smith to Everyone:
It's been said (and is becoming obvious) that if we heard from everyone, this meeting might go on for hours. My recommendation is: So be it. Let's find a time and process so that all points of view can be heard before finalizing the vote. If it takes hours, it takes hours.

2026-09-15 10:52:31 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:
Replying to "If nothing about EPA is actually changing and we w...":
So what. They don't have to use the name.

2026-09-15 10:52:43 From Ann Byle to Everyone:
Thank you, Board, for all the work you've done. I look forward to casting my vote in the near future.

2026-09-15 10:52:46 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

Thank you for your willingness to seek the Lord and discern His will for this association. A board does not unanimously recommend changing the name of a 78-year-old organization casually, and I do not want my questions to diminish that work.

I have two questions.

1. Are we facing an identity problem, or a communication problem? EPA has already broadened beyond print, both in its bylaws and in the application of its ethical standards to digital publishing. If younger communicators do not realize EPA is for them, I wonder whether the first remedy should be clearer positioning, recruitment, programming, and branding rather than changing the institutional name. The current name gives EPA something unusually specific: evangelical conviction joined to the professional disciplines of the press. "Christian Communicators Network" is broader, but it also moves us closer to territory already occupied by organizations such as NRB.

2026-09-15 10:52:47 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

Before we trade specificity for breadth, I would want to be sure the problem is truly our name and not how effectively we are communicating it.

2026-09-15 10:52:57 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

2. What responsibility do we have to preserve the meaning of "evangelical" rather than retreat from it because the word has become culturally difficult? I understand that the term now carries associations that can obscure its historic theological meaning. But I wonder whether that makes careful definition and faithful embodiment more important, not less. If we believe the word still accurately describes our theological identity, is there value in prayerfully holding that ground and demonstrating, through who we are and how we operate, what evangelical conviction is meant to signify? Does God want us to lean in and stand up for it: be the light?

2026-09-15 10:53:29 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

I see the value of a name change, particularly moving away from the word "Press." Those arguments are valid. But is "Network" the best option?

2026-09-15 10:53:51 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

If this is not a marketing thing, how did the topic for a name change come up? Are we in danger of closing down? Are we losing members with this current name?

2026-09-15 10:55:49 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

I would just add this as a gentle point of order. This is not simply an informational item; the board has placed before the membership a resolution asking us to approve an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation changing the legal name of the organization. Before the final vote, I would ask the chair to identify the provision in our bylaws, the

Articles, or the rules adopted for this meeting that prohibits members from discussing a substantive motion before them. If there is no such rule, I would respectfully request that the chair recognize members for reasonable questions AND discussion, both for and against the motion, before the vote is taken— in this meeting or in another one.

2026-09-15 10:55:56 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Thank you for all your hard work and research during this decision.

2026-09-15 10:56:35 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Great explanation Kristy. Very helpful

2026-09-15 10:58:41 From Akosua Frempong to Everyone:

Hello everyone. Board members, thank you so much for the thought and all that has gone into this. I truly appreciate it. The main question I have - and I humbly ask this - is, during your prayer time, was there a particular Scripture or were there things that you felt God was communicating to you about in light of Scripture to motivate this recommendation? Thank you!

2026-09-15 10:58:44 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Moving from evangelical to Christian creates specific challenges. Perhaps moving to a more missional descriptor rather than an identity descriptor would be better. For example, several years ago, the Greater Minnesota Association of Evangelicals changed their name to Transform Minnesota. Moving from evangelical to Christian communicates something different. Was that approach considered?

2026-09-15 10:59:14 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Krsity answered that question

2026-09-15 10:59:23 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

Why is the board hiding behind “the bylaws” and not acting in an open and, frankly, Christian manner in terms of permitting (and even encouraging) discussion? Why is this being imposed from the top down?

2026-09-15 11:01:06 From Lamar Keener to Everyone:

Replying to "If there were groups that didn't join and the "gut...":
It's called Ghosting. They don't respond.

2026-09-15 11:03:12 From Eric Thomsen to Everyone:

I am deeply encouraged by how much our members care about this organization, no matter what they think about this particular issue. Thanks, board. Thanks, members. Simple question: if this name option is voted down, in light of the ongoing director search, should we wait until that individual is in place before moving forward with another name change attempt to allow the new director's input and direction?

2026-09-15 11:04:20 From Ann-Margret Hovsepian to Everyone:

Replying to "I am deeply encouraged by how much our members car...":
I agree. Some stability seems prudent.

2026-09-15 11:04:43 From Paola Barrera to Everyone:

I'm grateful for the hard and thoughtful work of the board. I often see what Kristy explained in my everyday interactions. It has challenged me to follow Christ in the way I interact, react, and treat others who hold very different beliefs. When conversation ensues, I openly express my Christian faith and talk about Jesus. The word Evangelical is not necessary; its values have shaped me. They are present in the way I live out my faith.

2026-09-15 11:05:19 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Good answer Kristy..thanks

2026-09-15 11:05:24 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

Bylaws do not RESTRICT discussion. That's a board decision you are making. Love all of you and think you are working hard. No shade your heart. I just think that you should not have a membership decision without a membership discussion. I will drop it, but I think it is a mistake not to have people discuss what they are being asked to vote on. Peace.

2026-09-15 11:05:39 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:

Replying to "Why is the board hiding behind "the bylaws" and no...":
I don't think it's a matter of hiding so much as following the by-laws. As Ed Stetzer mentioned, the by-laws may need updating.

2026-09-15 11:05:55 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

What will set The Christian Communicators Network (our unique value proposition) apart from other large organizations in the Christian Communications space (NRB for example)?

2026-09-15 11:07:38 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

Thank you, Seana - Or "why" have they not heard about EPA? "Why" are they not familiar?

2026-09-15 11:08:27 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

I keep hearing its about SEO and marketing but MARK's presentation said it wasnt about marketing.

2026-09-15 11:08:52 From Rose M. Burgweger to Everyone:

I would like to have several alternatives to consider:

2026-09-15 11:11:23 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Theres nothing wrong with how you guys are doing this. This is just a request for a yes or no vote. A survey can allow us to speak and give opinions if we want. I respect the decision to not allow members to speak in this meeting.

2026-09-15 11:11:39 From Akosua Frempong to Everyone:

Thank you, Seana!

2026-09-15 11:12:00 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

If I were able to speak, I would ask how do we address issues of sexuality and gender. Lamar and I have had a specific organization that was once evangelical, and then changed their view of sexuality. How will we address that?

2026-09-15 11:12:13 From Alissa Vernon to Everyone:

I'd like to see this question from Jessica addressed: about the CCN's value proposition compared to other large Christian communications orgs

2026-09-15 11:12:15 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

NO YOU HAVE NOT

2026-09-15 11:12:18 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

The Bylaws state: These Bylaws supplement the Articles of Incorporation of Evangelical Press Association, Inc.

2026-09-15 11:12:30 From Dan Breen - Luke Society to Everyone:

Because this is such a significant decision, did or has the Board given any consideration to tabling this discission until the EPA Convention next May?

2026-09-15 11:12:32 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

Do we have those available anywhere?

2026-09-15 11:12:45 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

Again, why is the Board hiding behind the bylaws and not allowing discussion? Why the cowardice?

2026-09-15 11:12:59 From Tim Goropevsek to Everyone:

Quick re-ask: Were any voices outside the US consulted?

2026-09-15 11:13:13 From Lamar Keener to Everyone:

Replying to "Quick re-ask: Were any voices outside the US consu...":

Not that I am aware of

2026-09-15 11:13:40 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

(Changed their views and wanted to stay in EPA and EPA had no means to address because it is not in the doctrinal statement.) Hence, my question of how that will be addressed in the future.

2026-09-15 11:13:46 From Tim Goropevsek to Everyone:

Replying to "Quick re-ask: Were any voices outside the US consu...":
Thank you

2026-09-15 11:14:49 From Patti Townley-Covert to Everyone:

I think it would be wise to remember that we all voted for these board members. Trusting the timing and the process by which they have come to this resolution--their prayers and deliberations may be a wise decision. One thing that convinces me and sways my perspective is that there are tremendous limitations on what names and websites are available.

2026-09-15 11:14:52 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

Its not cowardly, it's called wisdom with such a large audience.

2026-09-15 11:15:29 From Kim Pettit to Everyone:

Seana spoke about wanting to reach future communicators. Do you see a generational split in the membership's reaction to the proposed name?

2026-09-15 11:15:39 From Mark Kellner to Everyone:

If yuou have taken this seriously for over a year, why not invite the ENTIRE membership to participate in the process from the jump?

2026-09-15 11:16:36 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

from the EPA Website:

Bylaws

BYLAWS OF THE EVANGELICAL PRESS ASSOCIATION

(A religious and educational non-profit corporation incorporated under the Laws of the State of California)

Introductory Note: These Bylaws supplement the Articles of Incorporation of Evangelical Press Association, Inc., and matter therein contained will not be repeated here.

Part of my question about the Article of Incorporation relates to a question from a few years ago about amending the Statement of Faith, which apparently requires a 100% vote.

The reason for this question goes to giving confidence that a name change will not cause a change in doctrinal integrity.

2026-09-15 11:16:36 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

What will set The Christian Communicators Network (our unique value proposition) apart from other large organizations in the Christian Communications space (NRB for example)?

2026-09-15 11:19:43 From Tez Brooks - Colorado to Everyone:

To the Board: Thanks for leading well and answering everyone with such grace and maturity. Leadership isn't always popular but its all part of your hard job to serve us. The Lord isnt asking leaders to make everyone love you.

2026-09-15 11:20:44 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:

Thanks, Mark.

2026-09-15 11:20:51 From LIGHT + LIFE Communications to Everyone:

Thank you, EPA board members, for your work on this. I have mixed feelings about the name change for multiple reasons. However, I especially appreciate the point about Gen Z. Light + Life (the online magazine of the Free Methodist Church USA, a longtime member of the NAE) is currently running multiple articles from young adults 18-25 who were selected by regional church leaders to participate in summer gatherings with our top denominational leaders. I don't recall a single young adult using the word "evangelical" in an article, and that's probably true of most of our authors of all ages. I want EPA to maintain our evangelical convictions, but I share the concern that the current name may restrict many potential members who hold evangelical beliefs.

2026-09-15 11:21:21 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:

Did the EPA board consider a name that would shift from an identity descriptor (evangelical/Christian) to a missional descriptor? For example, several years ago, the Greater Minnesota Association of Evangelicals changed their name to Transform Minnesota. Moving from evangelical to Christian communicates something different.

2026-09-15 11:21:32 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:

Replying to "What will set The Christian Communicators Network ...":

This may potentially become your inherited challenge with this move (not that it was not already present, but more with a generally specific name)

2026-09-15 11:22:09 From Mark Dreistadt to Everyone:

Replying to "Did the EPA board consider a name that would shift...":

Yes.

2026-09-15 11:22:10 From Andy Olsen to Everyone:

Replying to "Did the EPA board consider a name that would shift...":

Yes, Sarah. Some names along these lines were among the many, many options considered.

2026-09-15 11:23:51 From Danny Conn to Everyone:

Thank you, to the board for their diligent work in this endeavor.

2026-09-15 11:23:50 From Akosua Frempong to Everyone:
Thank you all!

2026-09-15 11:23:59 From Kim Pettit to Everyone:
Thank you.

2026-09-15 11:24:08 From Ann-Margret Hovsepian to Everyone:
Thank you for this meeting.

2026-09-15 11:24:14 From Paola Barrera to Everyone:
Thank you!

2026-09-15 11:24:22 From Ed Stetzer to Everyone:
Thanks, all.

2026-09-15 11:24:23 From Stephen R Clark to Everyone:
Thanks all!

2026-09-15 11:24:24 From Jessica Eturralde to Everyone:
Thank you.

2026-09-15 11:24:27 From Sarah Kropp Brown to Everyone:
Thank you!!

2026-09-15 11:24:31 From Tim Goropevsek to Everyone:
Thank you!

2026-09-15 11:24:51 From adoerksen to Everyone:
Thank you all for your careful consideration of this important issue. God bless you.